App F. IX 4

Previous References

1925.

(Omegorai)

File No. 208-25

Serial Nos. 3 10

Later References

(26)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 1927

SUBJECT

Revision of the Mun. Cmte. Ajmer Sola

Demi-official

May be over.

38488

GEN. & REV. SECT.
17 MAR 1926
MUZRAI

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
Br. .....
16 MAR. 1926
C. D. SECTION.

U.O.No.1737 Books dated 13-3-1926. 2/3 D.10. Muz 208-25-3

Forwarded with compliments duly verified.

2. The proposition involves an extra cost of Rs.997 per annum to the State Funds and of Rs.240 per an to the General Muzrai Funds.

17/3

E. Ganapathy
For Comptroller.

213

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

3) Subt. Their present revised proposals for the revision of the Scale of the Muz. Govn. Office Sett. is in response to the orders communicated in this Office letter no. 1035/Muz 208-25-2 dt 13/2/26.

4 The aggregate extra cost debitable against the State Funds & the general Muz. Funds have been reduced to Rs 997/- & Rs 240/- per annum from Rs 1526 & 36 respectively as originally proposed.

5 The Muz. Comr. strongly urges the necessity for the post of a ministerial head for the office under the designation of a 'Manager' and that it does not involve an "additional appointment" but is only a change of designation.

6 Increase of pay to the menial staff has been proposed and kind attention is invited to the sugg. tion contained in para 16 of the rules in Muz 208-25. In lieu of the watchman & maschalchi 2 peons have been proposed. If any reduction in the number of peons is called for in the light of the observations of the Fl Dept is a point for orders. Subd for orders. Then be referred to the Fl Dt for return.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Misc File No. 208

Serial No.

the increases involved for being considered in the framing of the Budget Estimates.

7 As per order (H Q), the
22/3 Muz. Commr. has now submitted modified proposals for the revision of his office establishment. The proposition statements (received verified by the Comptroller) show that that an extra cost of Rs 997 per annum to the State funds, & of Rs 240 per annum to the Gen Muz. funds.

8 The Muz Commr explains that an independent ministerial head is necessary for the Muzrai office.

9 Regarding the proposed increase to the menial staff, kind reference is invited to the Secy's Note (H C), & to the Finl. Secty's Notes (H 7)

10 The Financial Secy. may be consulted on the present proposals

L.L Secy) 23/3

yes
24 3.

Fin. Secty) 26/3

A no of revision proposals have come up for the consideration of Govt. - Until the budget position of the next year is known it is not possible to state what amount will be available for allotment to the departments to take up such revisions involving additional recurring expenditure

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

The new

12 ~~These~~ items may be listed ~~and~~ and sent to the Fin dept for being put up for consid- - deration at budget time, the order of urgency being indicated.

25.3.26

L. L. Secy

1st Nov

809 27.3

13 Early The proposals have to be examined dealt with on its merits before the question of making provision for it in the Budget is considered.

14. There is no need for the Finance Branch being consulted at this stage before the administrative Branch arrives at a decision regarding the proposals

15. The proposals may now be noted as in the other Branches & submitted for orders

27/3

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12

Serial No 18

The Mys Commr's proposals for the revision of the clerical & menial establishment of his office are as follows:-

(1) The nomenclature & scale of pay of the present Head clerk on Rs 100+25 P.A. is sought to be changed into that of Manager on Rs 130 in 125-5-150. The immediate Extra cost is Rs 5/- and under average cost is Rs 47/- ~~per annum~~. The present incumbent is getting Rs 100+25 P.A.

(2) The Post of the 1st Dr clerk on 55-5-100 is proposed to be changed into that of a "Head clerk" on Rs 90-5-120 resulting in Extra cost under average cost of Rs 26 1/4. The present incumbent is actually drawing Rs 95/-

(3) The 11th Dr clerk on Rs 35-3-67 is proposed to be styled Dr clerk on 60-4-80 and the Extra cost under average cost between the scales is Rs 17 1/3

(4) The munshi on Rs 57-4-77 is proposed to be styled a clerk on 40-3-70. This results in a reduction of the average cost by Rs 12/- The present incumbent has been in receipt of Rs 69 as pay per mensem

(5) The Despatcher & Typist each on Rs 30-1-35 are to be clerk & Typist respectively on Rs 30-2-50 Each resulting in an increase of Rs 19 1/6 under average cost in the aggregate.

17) In the case of menials, an increase of pay by Rs 3/- in the case of the mutchi & one anpe

Serial No

each in the cases of the Daffla Gund, Daffla and 2 of the peons has been proposed and Rs 2/- in the case of one peon on Rs 11/-.

18 As regards the establishment debited to the General Mugrai Fund, the scale of pay of the Sub-overseer & clerk is proposed to be changed from Rs 67 & Rs 35 to Rs 50-4-90 & 30-2-50 respectively. The present incumbents are in receipt of Rs 67 & 35 a month. An increase of Rs one each to the two peons is recommended. The net result of these proposals being ~~deet~~ Rs 20/- a month over & above the present cost of Rs 124. a month.

19 Subd for orders with ref. to orders in para 15.

31/3/26 The rise of Expe proposed in State funds is Rs 997 per annum and in Genl Mur Fds is Rs 240 p.a. as against Rs 1526 and 366 which were originally proposed & vetoed.

20 The proposals as revised may perhaps be sanctioned.

31-3-26 Rev. Secy. Vide paras 13 & 14 of my note dt 24.1.26. — Member

31/3

8/14 27 The Supt Tax Branch with please bring 27 pending

4 h 5/4

1054

6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 208 of 195.

Serial No.

13

As directed in person by the First Member, I have submitted a statement showing the establishment of the officers of the Directorate of Industries & Commerce, Excise Commr., Dy of Registration & Conservator of Forests in Mysore position.

Dy
24.4.26

P. A. to the First Member with compts.

Subd

24/4 "Is the Subversear a teacher & in that case given some or a Pd D establishment clerk & in that dept or is he an officer subdy for that dept having no sen in the Pd D.

What is the pay of a Subversear & Pd D time scale of compn with an overseer in the Pd D Dept?"

Dy
18.4.26

Sub
yes 75

The Subversear is borne on the Estt of the Pwd and his salary is debited to the Mysore Dept. He is in the grade of Subversear on 35-5/2-80 from 1st July 22 in the Pwd & gets 40 but his pay in the Mysore Dept is 07 and does not cost the interest earnings of the general Mysore funds.

27/4

He was taken on in the Mysore Dept on Rs 60 in Nov 1919. He gets 21 plus by the consolidation allowance.

ontn. Sheet

3/5/25 5/26 Rev. Secy

5/5/25

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mez/ File No. 208 of 1925.

Serial No

27 It may be submitted after the 20th inst.

Mez 8/5

Subd. 21/5/26 21-5-26 Rev. Secy. 21/5

28 The Munzvai Commissioner has submitted revised proposals in accordance with instructions issued in our letter No 1035 dated 13th February 19. The increase in expenditure now proposed is Rs 997 and Rs 240 from State and Munzvai funds respectively as against Rs 1526 and Rs 366 proposed originally.

29 The revised proposals do not involve any increase in number of the present establishment but only a rise in the scale of salary drawn by the staff. A case for a modified rise seems to have been conceded in letter No 1035 and if this view is upheld the proposals seem to be moderate.

30 The Munzvai Commissioner urges very strongly that there should be a separate manager for his office and that the manager of the Revenue Commissioner's office should have no control over the Munzvai office. I beg to suggest that this view deserves consideration. A separate manager is appointed for each of the offices under the Excise Commissioner. The pay of the manager may be reduced to 100-5-

NOTE . Sheet

1054

D 55
57/26

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 208-25 of 19

Serial No.

as against 125-5-150 proposed as the present head clerks is only in the grade of Rs 87-4-100

31 I consider that there should be no revision in the scale of the salaries of peons. as otherwise representations from other offices are sure to come up ~~for scale~~

CRO
28 5 25

2nd member)

28/5

404
29.5

32 I believe the q.n of revision of R.C's establt is under consideration and this may be postponed after that is settled

Mur
31.5.26

33 where is the bill referred to CRO
31 5 26

31/5

34 the file re. revision R.C's estt is in the Rev. Br. the R.C. has been asked to send proposals so as to. It has just sent the proposals. the file takes up & will be parakly.

Rev. Secy) 31 5

CRO
31.5.7

35 The Rev Branch may be asked to state in what stage the question of the revision part of the Rev Commr's office Estt stands.

BG
30/6/26 Pvt. Sec)

Rev question is before the council.

Mur Br) 5/7

Asp
5-7-26.

5/7 Orders of Govt in the matter will be communicated.

Pvt. Secy) 6/7

6/7/26

NOTE—

eet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

105 89

Mny File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No

37 Reference is invited to the members minute dated 30.5.26. The ~~[illegible]~~ proposals for the revision of the R.C's office are now before Council. Submitted for orders.

Govt 7.7.26

38 2nd member)

106/77. This may be kept up with a copy of the order passed in regard to the revision of R.C's office are 9.7.26

39 Award decision Govt 9.7.26

May this be referred to the Rev. Branch for a copy of order being put up in case orders have since issued in the matter?

Sub. for orders.

28/7 28/7/26

40 A copy has ~~already~~ since been sent to the Mun. Bor. by the Rev. Bor. 29/7

41 Sub. for orders with a copy of G.O. No. R 392-3/2 R 312-25-7 of the 10/23 July 29.2.6. sanctioning a revised scale of pay for the Rev Com's office ~~[illegible]~~ clerical establishment (as directed by the second Member in 30/7. his minute of 9.7 (6) above)

30/7 26 Rev. Secy

2nd member)

Govt 18.7.26

K

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 2 AUG 1926 376

NOTE — heet

1054

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz File No 208 of 1925

618

Serial No

(4) Has not the Engineering estabt of the MC been abolished as part of the arrangement recently sanctioned according to which all works are to be carried out by the PWD? If so, no revision of the estabt the cost of which is shown in the proposition statt as chargeable to the General Muz Fund need be considered now.

The chief feature of the recent reorganisation of the RC's office is the provision of long term progressive pay appointments. The proposal in regard to the MC's office proceeds on different lines. We have to ask the MC whether similar arrangements are not desirable in respect of his estabt in view of the fact that it works along with the estabt of the RC's office.

(5) For an office of 6 clerks why are 6 menials required? I am not sure if a separate daffadar is wanted. A mustaki, Daffaribend and two peons would appear to be sufficient.

me

4/

draft
GO
5/4

~~Draft sent~~. The wkg of the Engineering Establishment

oet

Serial No

under the Mazai Commissioner's office is met out of the General Mazai fund and has not been taken into consideration in the arrangement for the execution of works sanctioned in G.O. No P.W. 262-72 of the 24th July 1926.

Sub for orders with a draft

(4) The Engg. Sch of the Maz Comr's office consists only of 1 overseer, 1 clerk & 2 peons who are all paid out of the Rs 1-1-2 pd. trust from State funds. The order recently issued about all works being attended to, by the P.W.D. does not seem to apply to the Maz. staff, as the works are not works paid from State fds, but are mainly paid from Maz fds, but aided wherever nec by an annual contribution from State fds.

Draft sanctioning this for orders of the 2nd member is sub for approval

Ref:— Paras (46-47) above.

6-8-26 Rev. Secy)

7/8

Submitted with reference to his members minutes para 44 above. The me is addressed in regard to his other matters dealt with

2nd member)

Seen.

The qn. of continuing the engineer, 98 26
establ requires consideration. 1015

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
10 AUG. 1926
No 1068.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mus File No 208 of 1925

Serial No

4. To, Mus Commr No 268 S/10.8.26

Enquires whether the arrangements sanctd in respect of the Reorganisation of the Rev Comm's office are not desirable in respect of his office Estt also & whether a Mutchi or Daffardand with two peons wd not be sufficient for his office.

13772

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 16. SEP. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

R.O. No 2 Mus 11/8/16

5. Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary P.W.D. for favour of remarks. Reference is invited to the minutes of the member above

Ch Ranganatha Rao Sahib

11.8.26

11/8

U.O. No. 9 S/16.9.26

Please Kap.

M

Serial No

furnished on the question of the [illegible] or otherwise to consider the establishment and as to what [illegible] could be undertaken by Public Work. [illegible] either of the [illegible].

15 9 26
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Bangalore,
[illegible] 26.

C.R.148h

5 From the Mysore Commr.
C.No.742/25-26 dt the 31. 8. 1926.
Forwards revised scales of pay for the proposed revision of the clerical & menial establishment

b) The Mysore Comr. has proposed revised graduated scale of pay for his Estt. In his letter, he has at length clearly explained the reasons why his Establishment has to be dealt with under three different heads since they are the pair from three different sources.

PWD

57. Sub. for orders with reference to order in paras 44-46 & 53-54. It is for consideration if in view of the Chief Engineers note of 15-9-26 the Mysy Comr may be asked to furnish the opinion of

para 46 ante
18/9

Rev. Secy

Serial No

58. The information required by the Chief Engineer may be obtained from the M.C. early. He may also be informed whether his ~~staff~~ ~~Constables~~ ~~staff~~ overseer and clerk cannot be transferred to the P.W.D. in accordance with the arrangements sanctioned in G.O. dated 24.9.26

Please call for very early reply.

G.O.
21.9.26

Draft above
K
22/9

59 Draft letter, subd.
SD
23.9.26 KB
28/9/26

Issue, as revised.
K
28/9

6. To, Inspr. Cor. 12 615 dt. 5.7.6.
Forwards copy of the Engr's N.O. Note & asks to furnish the info. reqd by the Ch. Engr & to state whether the sub overseer has time.

7 From the Mugrai Commr., letter no. C.742 of 25-26 dt 3/6-12-26.
States that, for reasons given, the retention of the services of the sub-overseer & the L.D.C. in his office is quite essential in the interest of work and requests early sanction for the revision &c

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mcy File No 208 of 1925.

Serial No.

60 Reference. Member's minutes in para 53.
In view of the reasons urged by the Mcy: Comr. for retaining the Engineering establt.— Overseer & clerk—it does not appear necessary to furnish the Chr. engr. with the information and call for his opinion again—

61 The M.C. requests early orders on his revision proposals made in his letter dt 31-8-26. (Sl 5). ~~It is for orders whether the revised proposals may be sanctd. as to or with any modification that may be considered necessary. Kind refce is invited to the secretary's note dt 28.5.25 (paras 8 to 10).~~
Subt. for orders.

SD 9.12.26 9.12.26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mun. File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No.

8 From the Mun: Comr.,
letter no. C. 742 dt 21.1.27
Solicits sanction to make a lumpsum provision of Rs 500 in the next year's budget for the "Reorganization of his office" and requests early orders on his revision proposals.
62. The connected file is before the H. Secretary. Subd. for orders.
SD
22.1.27
HS.)
22/1
63. Issued to the Supptg Mn 3)

9. To
Ch Engr No 1480 dt 9.2.27
forwards copy of the Mn's letter & calls for his opinion as to whether the Engineering Estt may be continued to work under the M. C.

10 From the Ch: Engr., letter no. 8657 dt 11-3-27
States that the Engineering Estab. may, under the circumstances, be continued in the M. C's office.
64. Refer. Member's minutes in para 53 of the note.
65. Subd. for orders as to whether the revision

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat

Misc File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No.

proposals made by the M.C may be sanct.

SD 15.3.27 Refer pages 28 to 31 & 44 to 46

HK

15.3.27

"Draft sanctioning the revision proposals, is put up, as directed.

SD 22.3.27

HK 22.3.27

These papers relate to the Murrai Commissioner's proposals for the revising the establishment of his office. Certain rates of pay were proposed by him but as the Member thought long term progressive pay would be more suitable than the fixed pay proposed by the M.C. (Vide pages 44-46 above) revised proposals were called for. These have been received. There is certain engineering establishment in the Murrai Dept. The Member raised the question whether this could not be dispensed with as the P.W.D were attending to all such work now. The Murrai Comm has reported that the establishment has to be continued. The Ch Engineer was consulted about the continuance of the establishment and has expressed the opinion that it

NOTE—Contn sheet

1297

General and Revenue Secretariat. Miscy File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No.

may be continued. The proposals of the Madurai Court involve an expdr of Rs 142/8 a month altogether. The charge on the State funds out of this will be Rs 68/4, the balance being on the Madurai Estt and Gen Mur Fund. A draft order has been put up for consideration sanctioning the proposals. The following points may perhaps be considered in this connection:-

68. (1) The Manager, it is proposed, may be started on Rs 130/- in the new grade of 125-5-150. He has put in some years on Rs 125/-. Whether the increment may be given is for orders.

69. (2) The pay of the 4 clerks is proposed at Rs 40-4-100. It may perhaps be fixed at Rs 40-4-80.

70. (3) It is proposed to fix the pay of both Ganamikas at Rs 30-2-50. Whether the pay of the senior of them may not be fixed at 40-2-50 and of the junior 30-2-40 or some other distinction made and promotion given from the junior's place to the senior's is for consideration.

71. The revision has perhaps to be passed in Council

MO, 22/3

H Secy.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

neral and Revenue Secretariat

Irrig File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No.

72) This revision has been pending for a long time. The Irrig Commissioner's revised proposals may, I think be sanctioned with the following few modifications :—

73) It is desirable to have a step between the minimum pay of the Manager and the maximum of the Head clerk — The latter may be Rs 120.

74) The suggestion of the Under Secy to fix the pay of the Senior clerks to the efficiency bar — Rs 80 seems reasonable —

75) The officials who are to benefit by the revision can under the existing scale go up to by 1.77 only —

76) The modified scale will be as follows :—

1 Manager — 125 - 5 - 150.

1 Head clerk 100 - 4 - 120.

4 Senior clerks. 40 - 4 - 80 (includes Sub Inspector)

8 Junior — 30 - 3 - 60.

2 Apprentices. 30 - 2 - 50.

Mandals. as proposed by H.C.

77) The revision may have effect from 1st June 1927.

78) The recommendation to make the new provision according to the revised scale in the ensuing years budget may be approved —

3rd member)

22.2.27

79. I agree

CRS 26/2/27

Dewan)

Dy Secy 1/4

80.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
1 APR. 1927
No. 9012

4844
23/3

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
1 APR. 1927
No. 5093

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
25 MAR. 1927
No. 1161

1101

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 208 of 1925

Serial No.

81. Draft G.O. submd.

12.4.27 HK 12.4.27

MW 14/4

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 14 APR. 1927 No.

82. Please There was a mistake in the proposition put up. The rate of increment to the third clerk shd be Rs 4 & not Rs 5.

83. Draft revised.

84. Figures may be kindly checked before the G.O. ~~issued~~ issues

h 14.4.27.

85 Figures checked & Corrected May the draft issue now?

HK 16.4.27

86. ~~for clearing~~ the case shd be treated as a Council case. It seems a case of material revision of an establishment

MW 16/4

Please 87. The debit to the State fund alone is not much. However as the establishment is a combined one, ~~I agree that~~ the revision may be treated as a schedule matter and disposed of by circulation, with Dewan's approval if the Chairman is also of the same opinion.

h 16/4

3rd member (Hon'ble Chairman)

1297

WD 01229 —GPB —37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mukkai File No. 208

App. F. III—2]

of 192

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
23 APR. 1927
Reg. No. 8268

Serial No.

88. The papers may be submitted to the Member for concurrence & then sent with a final note.
[illegible]
18/4

10740/19/4

89. First Member
[illegible]
20/4/27

first final note today please [illegible]
[illegible]
D. F. N. put up-
[illegible] 20/4

90. D. F. N. submitted
[illegible]
20/4

OFFICE SECONDARY COUNCIL,
20 APR. 1927
No. 6438

91. Third Member
[illegible]
21/4

92. Final note signed by the Member is placed in the file.
[illegible]
22/4/27
[illegible]
With D.S.N.
[illegible]
22/4

93. Dewan

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Final Note by the Third Member of Council.

ous

Subject :—

Revision of establishment of the office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The existing scale of pay of the establishment of the office of the Muzrai Commissioner was fixed so long back as 1917 The present strength of the office establishment is 16 and the cost is charged to several funds as noted in the margin.

(a)	State Funds	..	6 Clerks.
(b)	Muzrai Establishment Fund	..	8 ,,
(c)	General Muzrai Fund	..	2 ,,
	Total	..	16 ,,

2. The Muzrai Commissioner states that the abolition of the separate office of the Muzrai Superintendent and the creation of the Office of the Muzrai Commissioner as Head of the Department in 1922, with full administrative control over all the Muzrai Institutions in and outside the State, has increased the work and responsibilities of the office and that the salaries of the ministerial staff require revision and accordingly proposes that the pay of the establishment may be revised adopting a progressive scale. The proposal involves an extra cost of Rs 1,948/- a year.

3. A revision seems to be called for and it is proposed to sanction the scale recommended by the Muzrai Commissioner with some modifications. The Devan and the First Member also agree. A draft Order Government has accordingly been prepared and is placed in the file. The total extra cost of the revision as modified will be Rs 1,503/- as noted in the margin.

1.	State Funds	..	Rs 673.
2.	Muzrai Establishment Fund	..	630
3.	General Muzrai Fund	..	200
	Total	..	Rs 1,503.

4. The papers are submitted for His Highness' gracious approval to the orders proposed to issue.

Bangalore,
22nd April 1927.

C S Balasundaramiyer
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Sabra

As per P.S.'s orders
on the C.N. this was
filed here, retaining the
Collections &c to Branch.
Now they want the whole
Correspondence back. May
I return them to Br?

Yes orders

18/x

The Secy says this
Mr. Secy wants the file
for reference
YES. 18/10
18/10

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the THIRD Member of Council on the subject of the revision of the scale of establishment of the office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for His Highness' gracious approval.

Dewan.

742 of 1926 Two

3857/9 Mary 1348 Books

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 5th March 1926.

Muz 208-25-3

From,

19391

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department

(through the Comptroller to Government of Mysore.)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1035/M.F.208-25-2 dated -- 13th February 1926 in the matter of the proposals for a revision of the clerical and menial establishments of the office, I have -- the honor to submit herewith modified proposals on the lines ----- indicated in your letter and to state as follows.--

2. As regards the appointment of a separate Manager, I beg to submit at the outset that no additional appointment is suggested but only a change of designation is sought for. Under the Excise Commissioner in Mysore there are 3 different offices, viz., ------ Registration, Income-tax and Joint Stock Companies and though the staff is not numerically half as strong as that of the Muzrai --- Commissioner's office, yet each office has its own ministerial head. It is needless to say that the Muzrai Commissioner's Office is as important as any of the other attached offices, either of the --- Excise Commissioner or any other head of Department. Having regard to the strength of the establishment of the Revenue Commissioner's office, and the volume of work therein, the Manager of that office will not be able to devote much attention to the supervision and work of the other office. In view of the circumstances referred to above, an independent ministerial head seems to be quite necessary for the Muzrai Office. I am strongly of opinion that it is

neither

VI. G1.]

SUBJECT: Revision of the Establishment

Section Mur

File No. 208/25

Seria No. 6

No. 615 Ex one

Diary No. of letter replied to 324.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mur)
the 192

Date of issue 25-

Signed by

To The Mugrai Comr in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C. 742 of 25-26 & 31-8-26 on the subject of the revision of your office establishment, I am directed to state that the Chief Engr., who was consulted as to the necessity or otherwise for continuing the Engineering establt of your office paid out of the Genl. Mug. Funds and also as to when these works could be undertaken by the Public Works Dept, has furnished the

over

forward herewith a copy of the unofficial note of the Chief Engr in regard to the

List of enclosures Engr

Copied by Engr estt

Examined by Public Works Dept

to refer to

without inconvenience to either of the departments, ~~state that~~ with a view to judge the amount of work which the Eng: establ. under you is now called upon ~~to do, be~~ requires to be furnished with a report showing the work turned out by the staff, the number of estimates got up etc during the past 3 years, with a view to judge the amount of work in Wr ~~as the information is not available in his office~~.

2 I am, therefore, ~~to request you to furnish the Sub-Engr. with the~~ information required by ~~him and~~ the Sub-Engr., ~~said also to furnish Govt with your~~ I am further to ~~also~~ request you ~~opinion as to~~ stating whether the ~~engineering~~ Order & clerk of the staff of your office ~~may not be~~ cannot be transferred to the P.W.D in accordance with the arrangements sanctd in respect of certain other departments in G.O No P.W. 262-72 & 24-7-26.

An early reply is requested.

I have etc

V.D.B.

Secretary
Rev. Deptt

210

C 7142 Ex 25/6

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 3rd December 1926.

6

From

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 6 DEC. 1926 G. D. SECTION

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 7 DEC 1926 MUZRAI D 155

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz 208-25-7

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.615/M.F.206-25 dated 25th September 1926, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the work turned out by the Engineering staff of this office during the past three years and to state as follows:-

2. As regards the suggestion made in your letter whether the Sub-overseer and clerk of the Engineering Section cannot be transferred to the Public Works Department, I beg to state that it is since learnt that in modification of the instructions conveyed in para 4 of Government Order No.F.W.502-72 dated 24th July 1926 and on the recommendation of the Inspector General of Education the services of 1 overseer, 1 Draftsman and 2 clerks have been ordered to be continued in the Education Department to attend to miscellaneous items of work connected with inspection review of quarterly returns etc., received in that office (vide G.O.No.F.W.D.74-83/196-306 dated 9th September 1926).

3. The strength of the Engineering Section of this office was much more than it is now. Having regard to the absolute requirements of the office after its formation in August 1922 the place of (i) one assistant Engineer (ii) one draftsman and (iii) one menial was abolished and the small establishment consisting of one Sub-overseer, one clerk and two menials now working was ordered by Government to be continued. The necessity for the same has been fully explained in Government

order No.2362-5/M.F.315-20-8 dated 24th February 1923.

4. The Muzrai Commissioner is empowered to sanction estimates amounting to Rs.2500/- and the duty to pass completion bills and certificates in respect of all estimates sanctioned by Deputy Commissioners and costing less than Rs.500/- has also been assigned to this office. Moreover, several quarterly and other returns have been prescribed and their scrutiny not only requires appreciable time but also some amount of technical knowledge is necessary. The work of check and scrutiny of estimates and completion bills and the preparation of the lists showing the distribution of State Grant and General Muzrai Fund allotments for construction and --- repairs and also of the annual programme of works and numerous -- other items of a miscellaneous nature are infact much more than the two hands can be expected to cope with. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the retention of the services of the Sub-Overseer and the clerk in this office is quite essential in the interests of work.

5. I beg further to request that early sanction may kindly be accorded for the revision proposals submitted with this office letter of the 31st August 1926.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C.S.Balasundaramayya

Muzrai Commissioner.

42330

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore 20th January 1927

FROM

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. BANGALORE.

REV. SECTT. 22 JAN. 1927 SECTION.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIATE 22 JAN 1927 MUZRAI D 990

To
B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore, FINAncial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. C. 742/25-26 dated 6th December 1926, on the subject of the revision of this establishment, --- I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs. 6012-0-0 has been provided for in the current year's Budget towards the pay of the establishment (Vide 13 Muzrai Institutions 1 superintendence). The Revision proposals sent up with my letter of the 31st August 1926 so far as the establishment paid out of the State Funds is concerned, result in a net excess of Rs.68 1/6 a month. According to the existing scale a sum of Rs.6187/- will be actually required for expenditure during 1927-28. As the revision proposals submitted in August last in a final form are under the consideration of Government, I beg to solicit sanction to make a lumpsum provision of Rs.500/- in the next Year's Budget, for the "Reorganization of the office" which approximately represents the difference between the actuals of 1927-28 and the average cost of the revised proposals and would cover the actual cost during the next year as per revised scale proposed.

The favor of early orders is solicited as in the absence of such orders no provision in the Budget can be made.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

Statement showing the work done by the Subovergeer in the Muzral Commissioner from 1923-24 to 1925-26 as called for in the Chief Engineer's Unofficial note No.9 dated 16-9-1926.

Year.	Number of cases worked re the estimates received in this office.	Scrutiny of completion bills & certificates	Correspondence re the transfer of funds --- arrear claims etc., & petitions re repairs etc.	Original estimates prepared since 1923-24.
1923-1924	106	55	31	Two estimates one for construc watchmen's quarters and for constructing compound wall to the Sivaganga Matt building in 3rd Road Chamarajapet Bangalore City.
1924-1925	98	35	35	
1925-1926	109	25	40	Estimate for repairing the matt building and the well in Sivaganga Nelamangala Tk.
				Estimate for repairing the Sri Ramaraswamy temple on the hillock near Sunkenahalli - Bangalore Taluk.
Total.	313	115	106	Estimate for constructing a kitchen and Kalyana mantap to the Arkeswaraswamy temple at Closepet.

2/Jan 2 4/Apr 6

for Muzral Commissioner.

ate

1480 Inc 2

Draft. Mur 208-25-9

To 8 9th February 1927

The Chief Engineer im Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your Un-official note No.9 dated 16th September 1926 in regard to the necessity for the continuance of certain Engineering establishment in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office and to forward herewith a copy of the Muzrai Commissioner's letter No.C.742 of 25-26 dated 6th December 1926 furnishing the information as to the work done by the establishment as required in ~~your~~ the said note and to request you to favour Government with your opinion whether the establishment referred to may, in the circumstances explained by the Muzrai Commissioner, be continued.

I have etc.,

For Financial Secretary
to Government.

WD 1998—GPB—5,000—27-4-25

No. 8651

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Dated Bangalore, 11/3/ *1927*

GEN 14 7 MUZRAI

FROM

JOHN BHORE, Esq., A.M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department,
Bangalore.

SIR

With reference to your letter No.1480/Muz.208-25-9 dated the 9th February 1927, re the continuance of the Engineering ---- establishment in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office, I have the --- honour to state that, under the circumstances reported in the --- Muzrai Commissioner's letter No.C.742 dated the 6th December 1926, the establishment may be continued in that Department-

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

10 3 27

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

T.R.S.

MUZ. 1]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1297...

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

the 192 .

Date of issue .....

Signed by. .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SECTION Muz

FILE No. 208.25

SERIAL No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Correspondence ending with letter no C 742 of 25-26 & 21 1 27 from the Muzrai Commr in Mysore submitting ~~for sanction revised~~ proposals ~~in the matter of~~ for the revision of the clerical and menial establishment of his office.

~~Order Thereon No.~~

2) Letter No. 8654 of 11.3.27 from the Chief Engr. ~~of~~ Mysore stating that the Engineering establishment in the Muzrai Commr's office may, ~~no doubt~~ be

circumstances reported by the Muz: Com^r, ~~in his letter no. C 742 dt. 12.26~~, be continued in that office.

Order.-

The present strength of the establ^t in the Muz: Com^r's office is 16 (changed from sanctioned) as noted in the margin.

(a) State Funds 6 clerks
(b) Muz: Establ^t & Fund "
(c) Genl Muz Fund 2 "
16

The Muz: Com^r states that the abolition of the separate office of Muz Supt and the creation of the office of the Muz: Com^r as head of the Deptt, with full administrative control over all the Muzrai institutions in the State and outside, has increased the work and responsibilities of the office and that the salaries of the ministerial staff require revision and accordingly proposes that the pay of the establ^t may be revised adopting a progressive scale.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7 22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft forms)

Govt: consider "Fund" a revision of the Mu. office staff & the Mu. H.C. establishment

The Mysore Court's proposal for the revision of his office was sanctioned by Govt. with certain modifications and are indicated in the appendix to this order. The revised scale will have effect from 1st June 1927.

Necessary provision will be made in the State and Mysore Funds Budgets for 1927-28 to meet the cost of the revision.

State Fund Rs 673.
Mysore Fund Rs 630
Mysore Fund 200
1503

involving an additional cost of Rs 15,000 per annum

~~The excess cost debitable to the Mysore Establishment and Govt. Mysore Funds will be met from the interest earnings of these Funds.~~

14/4/27

Secretary to H.E. Deputy Ruler

To

The Mysore Court in Mysore

Govt to Govt. thro. the Final Secty